

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/867,475		05/31/2001	Monika Lusky	017753-146	7808
21839	7590	12/24/2003		EXAM	IINER
BURNS D	DANE S	WECKER & MAT	MARVICH, MARIA		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXAND	KIA, VA	22313-1404		1636	

DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/867,475	LUSKY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Maria B Marvich, PhD	1636			
Davind 6	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
Period f		N V IO CET TO EVOIDE 2 M	ONITH(C) EDOM			
THE - Extended after aft	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply sepedified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or excheded period for reply will, by stat reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		oply be timely filed  ( 30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONEO (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
1)[\implies]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	November 2003.				
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1.3.4 and 6-37 is/are pending in the	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 31,34 and 35 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-30,32,33,36 and 37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
,	The specification is objected to by the Exami					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
400	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
, —	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-152.			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/463,486</u> .						
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the praphication from the International Bure</li> </ol>		received in this National Stage			
* :	See the attached detailed Office action for a li		received.			
s	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome ince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78.					
	a) The translation of the foreign language p					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome eference was included in the first sentence of					
Attachmer	at(s)					
2) Notice	be of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) L Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	6) 🔲 Other:	•			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

#### DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to an after-final amendment filed 11/24/03. **The amendment has been entered.** Claims 2, 3 and 5 are cancelled. Claims 1, 30 and 32 have been amended. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-37 are pending. Claims 31 and 34-35 are withdrawn. Upon further review of the instant claims and specification it is apparent that the application is not in condition for allowance. Therefore, prosecution is reopened. As new grounds of rejection are presented in this action that are not necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims, this action is Non-Final.

### Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "a" which precedes encapsidation should be 'an". Claim 12, line 2 "helper" is misspelled. In claim 19, line 4, 5'ITR is written ITR 5'. In claim 12, line 7, 3' ITR is written ITR 3'. In claim 21 an "or" appears after "vector is" and before "obtained". Claim 23 recites that the cell line is complementing whereas it should recite that the cell line complements the functions. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/867,475

Art Unit: 1636

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3-4, 6-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of the term, "genomes of (i) and (ii) comprising" ITRs, encapsidation region and genes of early and late are unclear. It is unclear whether each genome individually comprises of each of the listed components or collectively the genomes comprise these components.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of the phrase "is in said first cell line" are unclear. It is unclear whether the first and second helper virus or just the first helper virus are in the cell line.

Claim 1 recites the limitation in step a "said first adenovirus" and "said second adenovirus" in claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "said first and/or second adenoviral vector" in claim 3.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 7 and 8 recite the limitation "said first helper adenovirus" in claim 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 is vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of the phrase "in at least the gene encoding DBP, Pol and/or pTP" are unclear. Due to the linkage of the genes by "and", it is unclear how it can be a gene.

Claim 13 recite the limitation "said second adenoviral vector" in claim 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/867,475

Art Unit: 1636

Claim 22 and 23 are vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of the phrase "said first or second or first and second" are unclear. It would be remedial to recite, "selected from the group consisting of the first helper vector, the second helper vector or the first and second helper vector".

Claim 28 recite the limitation " said first or second or first and second " in claim 20.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 28 recite the limitation "amplification step" in claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 28 is vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of the phrase "fresh second adenoviral helper vector" are unclear. It is unclear what is meant by use of the word "fresh".

Claim 36 is vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of the phrase "the method of claim 1(b)" are unclear. 1(b) is a step in the method of claim 1 and not a method unto itself.

#### Conclusion

Claims 1, 3-4, 6-37 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria B Marvich, PhD whose telephone number is (703) 605-1207. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, PhD can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Application/Control Number: 09/867,475

Art Unit: 1636

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Maria B Marvich, PhD Examiner Art Unit 1636

December 17, 2003

REMY YUCEL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

1.